

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,577	09/10/2003	Lawrence T. Drzal	MSU 4.1-588	4666
21036 7590 12/14/2007 MCLEOD & MOYNE, P.C. 2190 COMMONS PARKWAY			EXAM	IINER ·
			NILAND, PAT	NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS
OKEMOS, MI	48864		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/659,577	DRZAL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·	Patrick D. Niland	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT titute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 November 2007			
	his action is non-final.	•		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7,8,10-15,19,20,22,23 and 25-4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,7,8,10 and 11 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,12-15,19,20,22,23 and 25-33 is 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,7,8,10 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/are withdrawn from considera			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the international Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the papplication from the Internation of the In	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage		
		•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/659,577

Art Unit: 1796

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/5/07 has been entered.

The amendment of 11/5/07 has been entered. Claims 1-4, 7-8, 10-15, 19-20, 22-23, and 25-33 are pending.

- 2. Claims 4, 7, 8, 10, 11 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4, 7, 8, 10, 11 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 3. The amendment filed 5/10/07 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The recitation added to the abstract of "up to five minutes so that there is not significant order between the nanoplatelets compared to a precursor graphite" does not find support for the entirety of the newly recited range, i.e. the full scope of "up to five minutes", in the originally filed specification nor for "so that there is not significant order between the nanoplatelets compared to a precursor graphite", even at the sections cited by the applicant. This rejection is maintained for the reasons stated above and the applicant has not distinctly pointed out how the rejection is incorrect nor where to find support

for the range discussed above. 3-5 minutes is noted in the originally filed specification but "up to five minutes" is not seen the newly added scope is new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

- 4. Claims 1-3,12-15,19,20,22,23 and 25-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- A. The applicant points to figure 4 for basis for the new recitation of "1040 Watts" of claims 29-32. The figure only shows 1040W at 3 minutes for one specific graphite expansion mixture/process situation. This does not establish using 1040W for all graphitization expansion mixtures and/or process situations, particularly regarding the time the power is applied, encompassed by the newly presented claims. The recited power is therefore new matter as it relates to the entire scope of the instant claims. This rejection is maintained for the reasons stated above and the applicant has not distinctly pointed out how the rejection is incorrect nor where to find support for the range discussed above.
- B. There is not basis in the originally filed specification for the newly recited "heating for a time up to 5 minutes" of claims 1-3, 12-15, 19-20, 22-23, and 25-33 because the full range, i.e. >0-5 minutes, encompassed by this range is not disclosed in the application as originally filed, even at the sections cited by the applicant. 3-5 minutes is noted in the originally filed specification but "up to five minutes" is not seen the newly added scope is new matter. This

Application/Control Number: 10/659,577

Art Unit: 1796

rejection is maintained for the reasons stated above and the applicant has not distinctly pointed out how the rejection is incorrect nor where to find support for the range discussed above.

5. The claim recitation of "worm-like" has been considered regarding 112 second paragraph issues. It is a term of art that the ordinary skilled artisan would understand, based on the examiner's search of the prior art. US Pat. Nos. 6395199 and 4199628, column 6, line 24 recite this term and describe it regarding the expanded graphite art. The recitation of "worm like" at section [0069] of the applicant's specification is noted. See MPEP 2173.05(b): regarding

"The term "or like material" in the context of the limitation "coke, brick, or like material"

was held to render the claim indefinite since it was not clear how the materials other than coke or brick had to resemble the two specified materials to satisfy the limitations of the claim. Ex parte Caldwell, 1906 C.D. 58 (Comm'r Pat. 1906)." However, the term is well defined in this instance and the ordinary skilled artisan would know what "worm-like" means regarding the expanded graphite art.

- 6. The prior art considered does not teach nor suggest the instantly claimed limitations in a manner consistent with Graham v. Deere.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 571-272-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 10 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,577

Art Unit: 1796

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick D. Niland Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714